

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
WWW.USPTO.GOV

Paper No. None

JAMES A. SHERIDAN MOSER, PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, L.L.P. 595 SHREWSBURY AVENUE SUITE 100 SHREWSBURY NJ 07702

JUN 0 1 2004

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of Donald J. Macleod et al. Application No. 09/631,438 Filed: August 2, 2000

Attorney Docket No. A-59709-3/JAS Title: METHOD TO REDUCE TORQUE RELATED AUDIBLE NOISE FOR

SPINDLE MOTOR

DECISION GRANTING PETITION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.137(b)

This is a decision on the petition filed June 27, 2003, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.137(b)¹, to revive the above-identified application.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to reply within the meaning of 37 C.F.R §1.113 in a timely manner to the final Office action mailed October 21, 2002, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of three (3) months. On March 18, 2003, an amendment was received, along with a three-month extension of time, along with a two-month extension of time. On July 31, 2003, an advisory action was mailed, indicating that the amendment failed the place the application in condition for allowance. No further extensions of time were received. Accordingly, the above-identified application became abandoned on March 22, 2003.

With the instant petition, petitioner has filed the petition fee, a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 C.F.R. §1.114 as well as the associated fee, and has made the proper statement of unintentional delay. The RCE has been accepted as the required reply under

¹ A grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

⁽¹⁾ The reply required to the outstanding Office action or notice, unless previously filed;

⁽²⁾ The petition fee as set forth in § 1.17(m);

⁽³⁾ A statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to this paragraph was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional, and;

⁽⁴⁾ Any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in § 1.20(d)) required pursuant to paragraph (d) of this section.

37 C.F.R. §1.137(b)(1). The amendment, previously filed on March 18, 2003, shall serve as the required submission.

As such, the petition is **GRANTED**.

It is noted that the address listed on the petition differs from the address of record. The application file does not indicate a change of correspondence address has been filed in this case, although the address given on the petition differs from the address of record. If petitioner desires to receive future correspondence regarding this application, the change of correspondence address must be submitted. A courtesy copy of this decision will be mailed to petitioner. However, all future correspondence will be directed to the address of record until such time as appropriate instructions are received to the contrary. Petitioner will not receive future correspondence unless a Change of Correspondence Address Form (PTO/SB/122) is submitted for the above-identified application. A blank Change of Correspondence Address Form (PTO/SB/122) may be found at http://www.uspto.gov/web/forms/sb0122.pdf.

After this decision is mailed, the application will be forwarded to Technology Center 2800 for consideration of the submission under 37 C.F.R. §1.114, the previously filed amendment.

Telephone inquiries regarding this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (703) 305-0011.

Paul Shanoski Senior Attorney Office of Petitions

United States Patent and Trademark Office

cc:

JAMES A. SHERIDAN MOSER, PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, L.L.P. 350 CAMBRIDGE AVENUE, SUITE 250 PALO ALTO, CA 94306